arXiv:1612.04550v1 [math.DG] 14 Dec 2016

A NOTE ON A UNICITY THEOREM FOR THE GAUSS MAPS OF COMPLETE MINIMAL SURFACES IN EUCLIDEAN FOUR-SPACE

PHAM HOANG HA AND YU KAWAKAMI

Abstract. The classical result of Nevanlinna states that two nonconstant meromorphic functions on the complex plane having the same images for five distinct values must be identically equal to each other. In this paper, we give a similar uniqueness theorem for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in Euclidean four-space.

1. Introduction

The Gauss map of a complete minimal surface in Euclidean space have some properties similar to the results in value distribution theory of a meromorphic function on the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$. One of the most notable results in this area is the Fujimoto theorem [3, Theorem I] which states that the Gauss map of a nonflat complete minimal surface in Euclidean 3-space $\mathbf{R}^3$ can omit at most 4 values. He also obtained the sharp estimate [3, Theorem II] for the number of exceptional values of the Gauss map of a complete minimal surface in Euclidean 4-space $\mathbf{R}^4$. Recently, the second author [11] (for $\mathbf{R}^3$) and Aiyama, Akutagawa, Imagawa and the second author [1] (for $\mathbf{R}^4$) gave geometric interpretations of these Fujimoto results. Moreover Dethloff and the first author [7] proved ramification theorems for the Gauss map of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^3$ and $\mathbf{R}^4$ on annular ends. Their results extended a result of Kao [10].

Another famous result is the result on uniqueness and value sharing, which is called the unicity theorem. For meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}$, Nevanlinna [14] proved that two meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}$ sharing 5 distinct values must be identically equal to each other. Here we say that two meromorphic functions (or maps) f and $\hat{f}$ share the value α (ignoring multiplicity) when $f^{-1}(\alpha) = \hat{f}^{-1}(\alpha)$. Fujimoto [5] obtained the following analogy of this theorem for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^3$:

Theorem 1.1. [5, Theorem I] *Let $X\colon \Sigma \to \mathbf{R}^3$ and $\widehat{X}\colon \widehat{\Sigma} \to \mathbf{R}^3$ be two nonflat minimal surfaces and $g\colon \Sigma \to \overline{\mathbf{C}} := \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, $\hat{g}\colon \widehat{\Sigma} \to \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ the Gauss maps of $X(\Sigma)$, $\widehat{X}(\widehat{\Sigma})$ respectively. Assume that there exists a conformal diffeomorphism $\Psi\colon \Sigma \to \widehat{\Sigma}$ and either $X(\Sigma)$ or $\widehat{X}(\widehat{\Sigma})$ is complete. If g and $\hat{g} \circ \Psi$ share 7 distinct values, then $g \equiv \hat{g} \circ \Psi$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A10; Secondary 30D35, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. minimal surface, Gauss map, unicity theorem.

The second author is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 15K04840, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

We remark that the second author [12] had unified explanation for the unicity theorem of the Gauss maps of several classes of surfaces in 3-dimensional space forms including minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^3$.

The purpose of this paper is to give a similar uniqueness theorem for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^4$. The main theorem is stated as follows:

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $X\colon \Sigma \to \mathbf{R}^4$ and $\widehat{X}\colon \widehat{\Sigma} \to \mathbf{R}^4$ be two nonflat minimal surfaces, and $G = (g_1, g_2)\colon \Sigma \to \overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}$, $\widehat{G} = (\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2)\colon \widehat{\Sigma} \to \overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ the Gauss maps of $X(\Sigma)$, $\widehat{X}(\widehat{\Sigma})$ respectively. We assume that there exists a conformal diffeomorphism $\Psi\colon \Sigma \to \widehat{\Sigma}$ and either $X(\Sigma)$ or $\widehat{X}(\widehat{\Sigma})$ is complete.*

(i) *Assume that $g_1, g_2, \hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2$ are nonconstant and, for each i $(i = 1, 2)$, g_i and $\hat{g}_i \circ \Psi$ share $p_i > 4$ distinct values. If $g_1 \not\equiv \hat{g}_1 \circ \Psi$ and $g_2 \not\equiv \hat{g}_2 \circ \Psi$, then we have*

$$\frac{1}{p_1 - 4} + \frac{1}{p_2 - 4} \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

In particular, if $p_1 \geq 7$ and $p_2 \geq 7$, then either $g_1 \equiv \hat{g}_1 \circ \Psi$ or $g_2 \equiv \hat{g}_2 \circ \Psi$, or both hold.

(ii) *Assume that $g_1, \hat{g}_1$ are nonconstant, and g_1 and $\hat{g}_1 \circ \Psi$ share p distinct values. If $g_1 \not\equiv \hat{g}_1 \circ \Psi$ and $g_2 \equiv \hat{g}_2 \circ \Psi$ is constant, then we have $p \leq 5$. In particular, if $p \geq 6$, then $G \equiv \widehat{G} \circ \Psi$.*

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, to reveal the geometric interpretation of Theorem 1.2, we give a unicity theorem for the holomorphic map $G = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ into $(\overline{\mathbf{C}})^n := \underbrace{\overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \cdots \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}}_{n}$ on open Riemann surfaces with the conformal metric $ds^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + |g_i|^2)^{m_i}|\omega|^2$, where ω is a holomorphic 1-form on Σ and each m_i $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ is a positive integer (Theorem 2.1). By virtue of the result, Theorem 1.2 deeply depends on the induced metric from $\mathbf{R}^4$. Moreover we give examples (Example 2.2) which ensure that Theorem 1.2 is optimal. The proof and some remarks of Theorem 1.2 are given in the latter of this section. Section 3 provides the proof of Theorem 2.1. The main idea of the proof is to construct some flat pseudo-metric on Σ and compare it with the Poincaré metric.

Finally, the authors would like to thank Professor Yasuhiro Nakagawa for his useful comments.

2. Main results

To elucidate the geometric interpretation of Theorem 1.2, we give the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let Σ be an open Riemann surface with the conformal metric*

$$ds^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + |g_i|^2)^{m_i}|\omega|^2$$

and $\widehat{\Sigma}$ *another open Riemann surface with the conformal metric*

$$d\hat{s}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+|\hat{g}_i|^2)^{m_i}|\hat{\omega}|^2,$$

where ω *and* $\hat{\omega}$ *are holomorphic* 1*-forms,* G *and* $\widehat{G}$ *are holomorphic maps into* $(\overline{\mathbf{C}})^n := \underbrace{\overline{\mathbf{C}}\times\cdots\times\overline{\mathbf{C}}}_{n}$ *on* Σ *and* $\widehat{\Sigma}$ *respectively, and each* m_i $(i=1,\cdots,n)$ *is a positive integer. We assume that there exists a conformal diffeomorphism* $\Psi\colon\Sigma\to\widehat{\Sigma}$*, and* $g_{i_1},\ldots,g_{i_k}$ *and* $\hat{g}_{i_1},\ldots,\hat{g}_{i_k}$ $(1\le i_1<\cdots<i_k\le n)$ *are nonconstant and the others are constant. For each* i_l $(l=1,\cdots,k)$*, we suppose that* g_{i_l} *and* $\hat{g}_{i_l}\circ\Psi$ *share* $q_{i_l}>4$ *distinct values and* $g_{i_l}\not\equiv\hat{g}_{i_l}\circ\Psi$*. If either* ds^2 *or* $d\hat{s}^2$ *is complete, then we have*

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k}\frac{m_{i_l}}{q_{i_l}-4}\ge 1. \tag{2}$$

We remark that Theorem 2.1 also holds for the case where at least one of $m_1,\ldots,m_n$ is positive and the others are zeros. For example, we assume that $g:=g_{i_1}$ and $\hat{g}:=\hat{g}_{i_1}$ are nonconstant and the others are constant. If $m:=m_{i_1}$ is a positive integer and the others are zeros, then the inequality (2) coincides with

$$\frac{m}{q-4}\ge 1 \iff q\le m+4,$$

where $q:=q_{i_1}$. The result corresponds with [12, Theorem 2.9].

Theorem 2.1 is optimal because there exist the following examples.

Example 2.2. For positive integers $m_1,\ldots,m_n$ whose the sum $M:=\sum_{l=1}^{k}m_{i_l}$ of the subset $\{i_1,\ldots,i_k\}$ in $\{1,\cdots,n\}$ is even, we take $M/2$ distinct points $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{M/2}$ in $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0,\pm1\}$. Let Σ be either the complex plane punctured at $M+1$ distinct points $0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{M/2},1/\alpha_1,\ldots,1/\alpha_{M/2}$ or the universal covering of the punctured plane. We set that

$$\omega=\frac{dz}{z\prod_{i=1}^{M/2}(z-\alpha_i)(\alpha_i z-1)}$$

and, the map $G=(g_1,\cdots,g_n)$ is given by

$$g_{i_1}=\cdots=g_{i_k}=z\quad(1\le i_1<\cdots<i_k\le n)$$

and the others are constant. In a similar manner, we set

$$\hat{\omega}(=\omega)=\frac{dz}{z\prod_{i=1}^{M/2}(z-\alpha_i)(\alpha_i z-1)}$$

and, the map $\widehat{G}=(\hat{g}_1,\cdots,\hat{g}_n)$ is given by

$$\hat{g}_{i_1}=\cdots=\hat{g}_{i_k}=1/z\quad(1\le i_1<\cdots<i_k\le n)$$

and the others are constant. We can easily show that the identity map $\Psi\colon\Sigma\to\Sigma$ is a conformal diffeomorphism and the metric $ds^2=\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+|g_i|^2)^{m_i}|\omega|^2$ is complete.

Then for each i_l, the maps g_{i_l} and $\hat{g}_{i_l}$ $(l = 1, \cdots, k)$ share the $M+4$ distinct values $0, \infty, 1, -1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{M/2}, 1/\alpha_1, \ldots, 1/\alpha_{M/2}$ and $g_{i_l} \not\equiv \hat{g}_{i_l} \circ \Psi$. These show that Theorem 2.1 is optimal.

We will apply Theorem 2.1 to the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^4$. We first recall some basic facts of minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^4$. For more details, we refer the reader to [2, 8, 9, 15]. Let $X = (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \colon \Sigma \to \mathbf{R}^4$ be an oriented minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^4$. By associating a local complex coordinate $z = u + \sqrt{-1}v$ with each positive isothermal coordinate system (u, v), Σ is considered as a Riemann surface whose conformal metric is the induced metric ds^2 from $\mathbf{R}^4$. Then

$$\triangle_{ds^2} X = 0 \tag{3}$$

holds, that is, each coordinate function x^i is harmonic. With respect to the local coordinate z of the surface, (3) is given by

$$\bar{\partial}\partial X = 0,$$

where $\partial = (\partial/\partial u - \sqrt{-1}\partial/\partial v)/2$, $\bar{\partial} = (\partial/\partial u + \sqrt{-1}\partial/\partial v)/2$. Hence each $\phi_i := \partial x^i dz$ $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ is a holomorphic 1-form on Σ. If we set that

$$\omega = \phi_1 - \sqrt{-1}\phi_2, \qquad g_1 = \frac{\phi_3 + \sqrt{-1}\phi_4}{\phi_1 - \sqrt{-1}\phi_2}, \qquad g_2 = \frac{-\phi_3 + \sqrt{-1}\phi_4}{\phi_1 - \sqrt{-1}\phi_2},$$

then ω is a holomorphic 1-form, and g_1 and g_2 are meromorphic functions on Σ. Moreover the holomorphic map $G := (g_1, g_2) \colon \Sigma \to \overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ coincides with the Gauss map of $X(\Sigma)$. We remark that the Gauss map of $X(\Sigma)$ in $\mathbf{R}^4$ is the map from each point of Σ to its oriented tangent plane, the set of all oriented (tangent) planes in $\mathbf{R}^4$ is naturally identified with the quadric

$$\mathbf{Q}^2(\mathbf{C}) = \{[w^1 : w^2 : w^3 : w^4] \in \mathbf{P}^3(\mathbf{C}) \, ; \, (w^1)^2 + \cdots + (w^4)^2 = 0\}$$

in $\mathbf{P}^3(\mathbf{C})$, and $\mathbf{Q}^2(\mathbf{C})$ is biholomorphic to the product of the Riemann spheres $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}$. Furthermore the induced metric from $\mathbf{R}^4$ is given by

$$ds^2 = (1 + |g_1|^2)(1 + |g_2|^2)|\omega|^2. \tag{4}$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the induced metric, we obtain Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first show the case (i). Since $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ from (4), we can prove the inequality (1) by Theorem 2.1. Next we show the case (ii). By Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p-4} \geq 1.$$

Thus we have $p \leq 4 + 1 = 5$. □

REMARK 2.3. Fujimoto [6] obtained the result of the unicity theorem for the Gauss maps $G\colon \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^m$ ($m \geq 3$). However the result does not contain Theorem 1.2 because corresponding hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P}^3(\mathbf{C})$ are not necessary located in general position (For more details, see [13, Page 353]).

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We first recall the notion of chordal distance between two distinct points in $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$. For two distinct points α, $\beta \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}$, we set

$$|\alpha, \beta| := \frac{|\alpha - \beta|}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha|^2}\sqrt{1+|\beta|^2}}$$

if $\alpha \neq \infty$ and $\beta \neq \infty$, and $|\alpha, \infty| = |\infty, \alpha| := 1/\sqrt{1+|\alpha|^2}$. We note that, if we take v_1, $v_2 \in \mathbf{S}^2$ with $\alpha = \varpi(v_1)$ and $\beta = \varpi(v_2)$, we have that $|\alpha, \beta|$ is a half of the chordal distance between v_1 and v_2, where ϖ denotes the stereographic projection of the 2-sphere $\mathbf{S}^2$ onto $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$.

We next review the following three lemmas used in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

LEMMA 3.1 ([5, Proposition 2.1]). *Let g_{i_l} and $\hat{g}_{i_l}$ be mutually distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions on a Riemann surface Σ. Let q_{i_l} be a positive integer and $\alpha_1^l, \ldots, \alpha_{q_{i_l}}^l \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ be distinct. Suppose that $q_{i_l} > 4$ and $g_{i_l}^{-1}(\alpha_j^l) = \hat{g}_{i_l}^{-1}(\alpha_j^l)$ $(1 \leq j \leq q_{i_l})$. For $a_0^l > 0$ and ε with $q_{i_l} - 4 > q_{i_l}\varepsilon > 0$, we set that*

$$\xi_{i_l} := \left(\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}} |g_{i_l}, \alpha_j^l| \log\left(\frac{a_0^l}{|g_{i_l}, \alpha_j^l|^2}\right)\right)^{-1+\varepsilon}, \quad \hat{\xi}_{i_l} := \left(\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}} |\hat{g}_{i_l}, \alpha_j^l| \log\left(\frac{a_0^l}{|\hat{g}_{i_l}, \alpha_j^l|^2}\right)\right)^{-1+\varepsilon},$$

and define that

$$d\tau_{i_l}^2 := \left(|g_{i_l}, \hat{g}_{i_l}|^2 \xi_{i_l}\hat{\xi}_{i_l} \frac{|g_{i_l}'|}{1+|g_{i_l}|^2}\frac{|\hat{g}_{i_l}'|}{1+|\hat{g}_{i_l}|^2}\right)|dz|^2 \tag{5}$$

outside the set $E := \bigcup_{j=1}^{q} g_{i_l}^{-1}(\alpha_j^l)$ and $d\tau_{i_l}^2 = 0$ on E. Then for a suitably chosen a_0, $d\tau_{i_l}^2$ is continuous on Σ and has strictly negative curvature on the set $\{d\tau_{i_l}^2 \neq 0\}$.

LEMMA 3.2 ([5, Corollary 2.4]). *Let g_{i_l} and $\hat{g}_{i_l}$ be meromorphic functions on $\triangle_R$ satisfying the same assumption as in Lemma 3.1. Then for the metric $d\tau^2$ defined by (5), there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$d\tau_{i_l}^2 \leq C\frac{R^2}{(R^2-|z|^2)^2}|dz|^2.$$

LEMMA 3.3. [4, Lemma 1.6.7] *Let $d\sigma^2$ be a conformal flat-metric on an open Riemann surface Σ. Then, for each point $p \in \Sigma$, there exists a local diffeomorphism Φ of a disk $\Delta_R = \{z \in \mathbf{C}; |z| < R\}$ $(0 < R \leq +\infty)$ onto an open neighborhood of p with $\Phi(0) = p$ such that Φ is an isometry, that is, the pull-back $\Phi^*(d\sigma^2)$ is equal to the standard Euclidean*

metric ds_E^2 *on* Δ_R *and that, for a specific point* a_0 *with* $|a_0| = 1$, *the* Φ*-image* Γ_{a_0} *of the curve* $L_{a_0} = \{w := a_0 s; 0 < s < R\}$ *is divergent in* Σ.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since the given map Ψ gives a biholomorphic isomorphism between Σ and $\widehat{\Sigma}$, we denote the function $\hat{g}_{i_l} \circ \Psi$ by $\hat{g}_{i_l}$ $(l = 1, \cdots, k)$ for brevity. For each i_l, we assume that g_{i_l} and $\hat{g}_{i_l}$ share the q_{i_l} distinct values $\alpha_1^l, \ldots, \alpha_{q_{i_l}}^l$. After suitable Möbius transformations for g_{i_l} and $\hat{g}_{i_l}$, we may assume that $\alpha_{q_{i_1}}^1 = \cdots = \alpha_{q_{i_k}}^k = \infty$. Moreover we assume that either ds^2 or $d\hat{s}^2$, say ds^2 is complete and $g_{i_l} \not\equiv \hat{g}_{i_l} \circ \Psi$ for each l $(1 \leq l \leq k)$. Thus, for each local complex coordinate z defined on a simply connected open domain U, we can find a nonzero holomorphic function h_z such that

$$ds^2 = |h_z|^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + |g_i|^2)^{m_i/2} (1 + |\hat{g}_i|^2)^{m_i/2} |dz|^2. \tag{6}$$

Suppose that each $q_{i_l} > 4$ and

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i_l}}{q_{i_l} - 4} < 1. \tag{7}$$

Then, by (7), we may suppose that $q_{i_l} > m_{i_l} + 4$ for each i_l $(l = 1, \cdots, k)$. Taking some positive number η_0 with

$$0 < \eta_0 < \frac{q_{i_l} - 4 - m_{i_l}}{q_{i_l}} \tag{8}$$

for each i_l $(l = 1, \cdots, k)$ and

$$\Lambda_0 := \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i_l}}{q_{i_l} - 4 - q_{i_l}\eta_0} = 1. \tag{9}$$

For a positive number η with $\eta < \eta_0$, we set

$$\lambda_{i_l} := \frac{m_{i_l}}{q_{i_l} - 4 - q_{i_l}\eta} \quad (l = 1, \cdots, k).$$

By (9) we get

$$\Lambda := \sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda_{i_l} = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i_l}}{q_{i_l} - 4 - q_{i_l}\eta} < \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i_l}}{q_{i_l} - 4 - q_{i_l}\eta_0} = \Lambda_0 = 1. \tag{10}$$

Now we can choose a positive number $\eta (< \eta_0)$ sufficiently near η_0 satisfying

$$\Lambda_0 - \Lambda < \min_{1 \leq t \leq k} \left\{ \frac{m_{i_t}}{q_{i_t} - 4 - q_{i_t}\eta}, \frac{m_{i_t}\eta}{q_{i_t} - 4 - q_{i_t}\eta} \right\}. \tag{11}$$

Using (10) and (11), we have

$$\frac{\lambda_{i_l}}{1 - \Lambda} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\eta\lambda_{i_l}}{1 - \Lambda} > 1 \quad (l = 1, \cdots, k). \tag{12}$$

Now we define a new metric

$$d\sigma^2 = |h_z|^{\frac{4}{1-\Lambda}} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}-1} (|g_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l||\hat{g}_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l|)^{1-\eta}}{|g_{i_l} - \hat{g}_{i_l}|^2 |g'_{i_l}||\hat{g}'_{i_l}| \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}-1} (1+|\alpha_j^l|^2)^{1-\eta}} \right)^{\frac{2\lambda_{i_l}}{1-\Lambda}} |dz|^2 \tag{13}$$

on the set $\Sigma' = \Sigma \backslash E$, where

$$E = \{p \in \Sigma\,;\, g'_{i_l}(p) = 0,\ \hat{g}'_{i_l}(p) = 0 \text{ or } g_{i_l}(p)(= \hat{g}_{i_l}(p)) = \alpha_j^l \text{ for some } l\}.$$

On the other hand, setting $\varepsilon := \eta/2$, we can define another pseudo-metric $d\tau_{i_l}^2$ on Σ given by (5) for each l, which has strictly negative curvature on Σ'. Take a point $p \in \Sigma'$. Since the metric $d\sigma^2$ is flat on Σ', by Lemma 3.3, there exists an isometry Φ satisfying $\Phi(0) = p$ from a disk $\triangle_R = \{z \in \mathbf{C}\,;\, |z| < R\}$ $(0 < R \leq +\infty)$ with the standard metric ds_E^2 on an open neighborhood of p in Σ' with the metric $d\sigma^2$. We denote the functions $g_{i_l} \circ \Phi$ and $\hat{g}_{i_l} \circ \Phi (= \hat{g}_{i_l} \circ \Psi \circ \Phi)$ by g_{i_l} and $\hat{g}_{i_l}$ respectively $(l = 1, \cdots, k)$ in the following. Moreover, for each i_l, the pseudo-metric $d\sigma_{i_l}^2$ on $\triangle_R$ has strictly negative curvature. Since there exists no metric with strictly negative curvature on $\mathbf{C}$ (see [4, Corollary 4.2.4]), we get that the radius R is finite. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.3, we can choose a point a_0 with $|a_0| = 1$ such that, for the line segment $L_{a_0} := \{w := a_0 s\,;\, 0 < s < R\}$, the Φ-image Γ_{a_0} tends to the boundary of Σ' as s tends to R. Then Γ_{a_0} is divergent in Σ. Indeed, if not, then Γ_{a_0} must tend to a point $p_0 \in E$. Then we consider the following two possible cases:

Case 1: $g_{i_l}(p_0)(= \hat{g}_{i_l}(p_0)) = \alpha_j^l$ for some l.

Since $g'_{i_l}(p_0) = (g_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l)'(p_0)$ and $\hat{g}'_{i_l}(p_0) = (\hat{g}_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l)'(p_0)$, the function

$$|h_z|^{\frac{2}{1-\Lambda}} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}-1} (|g_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l||\hat{g}_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l|)^{1-\eta}}{|g_{i_l} - \hat{g}_{i_l}|^2 |g'_{i_l}||\hat{g}'_{i_l}| \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}-1} (1+|\alpha_j^l|^2)^{1-\eta}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_{i_l}}{1-\Lambda}}$$

has a pole of order at least $2\eta\lambda_{i_l}/(1-\Lambda)$ at p_0. Taking a local complex coordinate ζ in a neighborhood of p_0 with $\zeta(p_0) = 0$, we can write the metric $d\sigma^2$ as

$$d\sigma^2 = |\zeta|^{-4\eta\lambda_{i_l}/(1-\Lambda)} w |d\zeta|^2$$

with some positive function w. Since $\eta\lambda_{i_l}/(1-\Lambda) > 1$, we have

$$R = \int_{\Gamma_{a_0}} d\sigma > C_1 \int_{\Gamma_{a_0}} |d\zeta|/|\zeta|^{2\eta\lambda_{i_l}/(1-\Lambda)} = +\infty.$$

This contradicts that R is finite.

Case 2: $g'_{i_l}(p_0)\hat{g}'_{i_l}(p_0) = 0$ for some i_l.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $g'_{i_l}(p_0) = 0$ for some i_l. Taking a local complex coordinate $\zeta := g'_{i_l}$ in a neighborhood of p_0 with $\zeta(p_0) = 0$, we can write the metric $d\sigma^2$ as

$$d\sigma^2 = |\zeta|^{-2\lambda_{i_l}/(1-\Lambda)} w |d\zeta|^2$$

with some positive function w. Since $\lambda_{i_l}/(1-\Lambda) > 1$, we have

$$R = \int_{\Gamma_{a_0}} d\sigma > C_2 \int_{\Gamma_{a_0}} |d\zeta|/|\zeta|^{\lambda_{i_l}/(1-\Lambda)} = +\infty.$$

This also contradicts that R is finite.

Since $\Phi^* d\sigma^2 = |dz|^2$, we get by (13) that

$$|h_z|^2 = \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left(\frac{|g_{i_l} - \hat{g}_{i_l}|^2 |g'_{i_l}||\hat{g}'_{i_l}| \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}-1}(1+|\alpha_j^l|^2)^{1-\eta}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}-1}(|g_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l||\hat{g}_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l|)^{1-\eta}} \right)^{\lambda_{i_l}}.$$

By (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^* ds &= |h_z|^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1+|g_i|^2)^{m_i/2}(1+|\hat{g}_i|^2)^{m_i/2}|dz|^2 \\
&\leq C_3 \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left(\frac{|g_{i_l} - \hat{g}_{i_l}|^2 |g'_{i_l}||\hat{g}'_{i_l}| (1+|g_{i_l}|^2)^{m_{i_l}/2\lambda_{i_l}} (1+|\hat{g}_{i_l}|^2)^{m_{i_l}/2\lambda_{i_l}} \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}-1}(1+|\alpha_j^l|^2)^{1-\eta}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}-1}(|g_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l||\hat{g}_{i_l} - \alpha_j^l|)^{1-\eta}} \right)^{\lambda_{i_l}} |dz|^2 \\
&= C_3 \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left(\mu_{i_l}^2 \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_l}} \left(|g_{i_l}, \alpha_j^l||\hat{g}_{i_l}, \alpha_j^l| \right)^{\varepsilon} \left(\log\left(\frac{a_0^l}{|g_{i_l}, \alpha_j^l|} \right) \log\left(\frac{a_0^l}{|\hat{g}_{i_l}, \alpha_j^l|} \right) \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \right)^{\lambda_{i_l}} |dz|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where μ_{i_l} is the function with $d\tau_{i_l}^2 = \mu_{i_l}^2 |dz|^2$. Since the function $x^{\varepsilon} \log^{1-\varepsilon}(a_0^l/x)$ $(0 < x \leq 1)$ is bounded, we obtain that

$$ds^2 \leq C_4 \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left(\frac{|g_{i_l}, \hat{g}_{i_l}|^2 |g'_{i_l}||\hat{g}'_{i_l}| \xi_{i_l} \hat{\xi}_{i_l}}{(1+|g_{i_l}|^2)(1+|\hat{g}_{i_l}|^2)} \right)^{\lambda_{i_l}} |dz|^2$$

for some C_4. By Lemma 3.2, we have that

$$ds^2 \leq C_5 \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left(\frac{R}{R^2 - |z|^2} \right)^{\lambda_{i_l}} |dz|^2 = C_5 \left(\frac{R^2}{R^2 - |z|^2} \right)^{\Lambda} |dz|^2$$

for some constant C_5. Thus we obtain that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{a_0}} ds \leq (C_5)^{1/2} \int_{L_{a_0}} \left(\frac{R^2}{R^2 - |z|^2} \right)^{\Lambda/2} |dz| < C_6 \frac{R^{(2-\Lambda)/2}}{1 - (\Lambda/2)} < +\infty$$

because $0 < \Lambda < 1$. However it contradicts the assumption that the metric ds^2 is complete.

□

References

[1] R. Aiyama, K. Akutagawa, S. Imagawa and Y. Kawakami, Remarks on the Gauss images of complete minimal surfaces in Euclidean four-space, submitted, arXiv:1606.02376.

[2] S. S. Chern, Minimal surfaces in an Euclidean space of N dimensions, 1965 Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse), 187–198, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.

[3] H. Fujimoto, On the number of exceptional values of the Gauss maps of minimal surfaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan **40** (1988), no. 2, 235–247.

[4] H. Fujimoto, Value distribution theory of the Gauss map of minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^m$, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1993.

[5] H. Fujimoto, Unicity theorems for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan **45** (1993), no. 3, 481–487.

[6] H. Fujimoto, Unicity theorems for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces, II, Kodai Math. J. **16** (1993), no. 3, 335–354.

[7] G. Dethloff and P. H. Ha, Ramification of the Gauss map of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^4$ on annular ends, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. **23** (2014), 829–846.

[8] D. A. Hoffman and R. Osserman, The geometry of the generalized Gauss map, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (1980), no. 236.

[9] D. A. Hoffman and R. Osserman, The Gauss map of surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^3$ and $\mathbf{R}^4$, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **50** (1985), no. 1, 27–56.

[10] S. J. Kao, On values of Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces on annular ends, Math. Ann. **291** (1991), no. 2, 315–318.

[11] Y. Kawakami, On the maximal number of exceptional values of Gauss maps for various classes of surfaces, Math. Z., **274** (2013), no. 3–4, 1249–1260.

[12] Y. Kawakami, Function-theoretic properties for the Gauss maps for various classes of surfaces, Canad. J. Math. **67** (2015), no. 6, 1411–1434.

[13] X. Mo and R. Osserman, On the Gauss map and total curvature of complete minimal surfaces and an extension of Fujimoto's theorem, J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), no. 2, 343–355.

[14] R. Nevanlinna, Einige Eindeutigkeitssätze in der Theorie der Meromorphen Funktionen, Acta Math. **48** (1926), no 3-4, 367–391.

[15] R. Osserman, Global properties of minimal surfaces in E^3 and E^n, Ann. of Math., (2) **80** (1964), 340–364.

Department of Mathematics,
Hanoi National University of Education,
136, XuanThuy str., Hanoi,
Vietnam
E-mail address: ha.ph@hnue.edu.vn

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Institute of Science and Engineering,
Kanazawa University,
Kanazawa, 920-1192, Japan
E-mail address: y-kwkami@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp